Western Slope projects are small and involve agriculture
with project participants, which should happen late this month, according to Cullom.

In response, Amy Ostdiek, CWCB section chief for interstate, federal and water
undertaken by the UCRC and the state of Colorado," reads the letter from Southwestern
wisely.

The districts say this makes it impossible to meaningfully review them to determine
expect from a proposal. We need to give people more time to engage in understanding
this in the future," he said. "We need more clarity on the data requirements, what we
enroll about 33 acres in the program and would result in about 46 acre-feet of water
rolled out, these guys had already made their decisions and they were already
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