

Technical Work Group - 1/12/95  
chaired by Robert Armberger NPS  
Duncan Patton  
Rick Gold - USBR - SLCC

40 people at table  
~~23~~ 23 people off table

60

- discussion on staffing of the work group and the
- roles of group.
- Dave Wegner. what will be done in FY96 for studies because all contracts will end in september.
- ~~is~~ there is adequate funding for the expected interim monitoring.

40 million has been spent on GCS over the past 10 years.  
Reclamation receives a permanent appropriation from Revenues of power generations

- met Mike Ambrewster of denver staff, he is an ecologist has worked on wetlands botany/revegetation.

what happens to funding if they sell off power unmarketably.  
Duncan Patton - feels that the Research center will determine what work will be done with guidance from the TWG. This is not right. we need people on the work group who have the technical responsibility to determine the studies and the Research Center determines how to get the work done with review from the TWG.

Cliff Barrett - Creeda

Jensen - Grand Canyon trust.

Larry Riley - Arizona game & fish

Wayne Cook -

10:45 am Parts of Interim, TAG, and Research center.

Rick asked for each of the agencies to provide us with the name of the person who will represent their interest

→ Name of this body The Transitional Work Group.

- Need to create record of the decision of the group. (say a summary of the meeting) send to the representative of each participating agency.

\* Research should be determined by whether or not they relate to the operation of Glen Canyon dam.

1:05 pm 53 people

Duncan Patton - Research center. ~~form a group to have~~ <sup>institute?</sup> been working with, USBR, NBS, USGS, NPS, FWS to develop the concept of the structure of the research center.

- Need to take care of - interim monitoring, Research center, and Cultural Resources. may need subgroups to look at these issues

Don Bay - Walipia tribe

- sub work group for Research Center  
USBR, NBS, USGS, ~~Native American~~ <sup>NATIVE American</sup>, NPS

1:30 pm

Interim flow Monitoring

Dave Wagner gave update on GCS.

there will be a sub group -

Comments and responses Gordy has copy -

Final EIS - there is no process to get copies out to individuals for comments prior to issuance. They should have

EPA commented on - flood frequency reduction measures.

The state didn't feel like they have been in the loop. The NEPA Process does not keep everyone in the loop only the COOP agencies.

Will the differing agencies participate with financial support? Rich said they would like to leave the door open.

Spike flow - cannot open the gates 4' because we haven't satisfied NEPA.

Documentation -

we have a budget to perform spike flow lets not loose. The RPA endorses beach buildings flows. Reclamation did not abandon the current study until last week. we will now wait until the ROD and a solicitor's opinion

selective level outlet works ~~and~~

Non use economics - Dave Hartman.

Non use values study is ongoing. They will be ~~use~~ sampling the non responsive group to see their feelings. This whole ~~thing~~ <sup>process</sup> is to find out what the public is willing to pay for endangered species protection.

Reclamation will send out the third volume of the EIS. Comments on the EIS.

Conclusion. - Rick thanks efforts to resolve conflicts previously it has been the cooperators and everyone else. tomorrow it will be everyone else on a level playing field.

Cooperative agencies meeting -

Rick Gold - introductions

Early Lind - Lee McQuivrey presentation

Dec. 15 - draft final was sent out. (Preliminary final FIS)

Reclamation intends to file the final EIS with EPA in March. should have been filed Oct 1994.

final comments due Friday 13<sup>th</sup>

Briefing was held in Washington explaining why the EIS was late.

GAO will perform an audit. <sup>of</sup> prior to issuance of Record of Decision

→ Met Norm Henderson of the National Park Service Glen Canyon he will be working with the TWG.

Ron Molton - WAPA.

Cooperative agencies were involved in determining

(Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives)

→ RPA from section 7 consultation (Endangered Species Act)

Biological Opinion

Jepurdy Opinion - includes the RPA reviewed 50 copies on Jan 10 yesterday.

Discussed low flows / experimental flows. Reclamation does not intend performing low flows. FWS feels that the study should be performed. Reclamation did not.

AMP does not exist until the secretary signs the ROD  
Shane. - River - only cooperating agencies and EIS team received preliminary final and comments.

those expressing interest

1. Arizona fish & game wants to be involved in aquatics
2. Wailipi Tribe wants
3. CREDA - scientist.
4. WESTERN
5. USBR - Dave
6. NBS
7. USGS
8. Arizona state U. - Duncan Patton

The goals and the objectives have already been established in the EIS.

Need to work out the details of how the research Center will function