MINUTES AND RECORD

OF

SESSIONS NINETEEN THRU TWENTY SEVEN

OF THE

COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION NEGOTIATING THE COLORADO RIVER COMPACT OF 1922

Colorado River Compact Negatiations Port \$ 4

1-168

FOREWORD

This is a mimeographed reproduction of the minutes of meetings 19 to 27 inclusive of the Commission which negotiated the Colorado River Compact. The Compact was signed in Santa Fe, New Mexico, on November 24, 1922. Subsequently it was ratified by all of the seven Colorado River Basin States and, in 1928, approved by the Congress of the United States.

There were a total of twenty-seven meetings of the Commission as follows:

First to Seventh, Washington, D. C., January 26-30, 1922; Eighth, Phoenix, Arizona, March 15, 1922; Ninth, Denver, Colorado, April 1, 1922; Tenth to Twenty-seventh, Dishop's Lodge, Santa Fe, New Mexico, November 9-24, 1922.

The Minutes of the First Eighteen Sessions are included in a separate volume.

This mimeographed reproduction was prepared from a copy used by Mr. Frank Delancy of Glenwood Springs, Colorado during the course of the lawsuit United States of America v. Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District, et al., Civil Nos. 2782, 5016 and 5017, in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

It will be noted that only the Minutes of the first part of meeting number twenty-six, held Friday, November 24, 1922, at 10:00 a.m. at Santa Fe, New Mexico are included in this volume. In recent years inquiry and search made by various persons for the minutes of subsequent parts of meeting number twenty-six have failed to uncover them. A note at the end of the first part of this meeting states "(First part of meeting held Friday, Nov. 24, 122 at 10 a.m. (concluded))", which indicates that there must have been a subsequent part or parts of meeting number twenty-six. A careful reading of the Minutes of this meeting further substantiates this conclusion.

April 10, 1956

Ival V. Coslin Engineer-Secretary



EXPLANATION OF INDEX

The numbers of the pages listed on the Log of Meetings and the Log of Topics which follows are consecutive. The page numbers are not identical to those of the original Minutes.

HINUTES AND RECORD OF COLORADO RIVER COLMISSION

-- LOG OF MEETINGS --

Session No.	<u>Date</u>	<u>Place</u>	Page
19	November 19, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	1 .
20	November 19, 1922	Lishop's Lodge Santa Fe, Hew Mexico	53
21	Movember 20, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	97
22	November 22, 1922	Bishop's Lcdge Santa Fe, New Mexico	135
23	November 22, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	169
24	November 23, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fc, New Mexico	207
25	November 23, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	245
26	November 24, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	273
27	November 24, 1922	Bishop's Lodge Santa Fe, New Mexico	297

- LOG OF TOPICS --

_	10 Harrandom 50 1022	PLGE 1
30	ession No. 19 November 19, 1922	_
	Rester of littendance	1
	Appropriations in Execess of 7,500,000 acre-feet	2 3 7 11
	Waters in Excess of 15,000,000 acre-feet	3
	Including Gila River	<i>></i>
	Mr. Morviel objects to proposed apportionment	(
	Mexican burden in lower basin apportionment Adjustment of claims and controversies	14
	Waters not covered by the compact	7.6
	Article VIII	16 18
	irticle IX	21
	Adjustment of controversies between two states	2 3
	Temporary adoption of Article VIII	27
	T chnical committee	27
	Collecting data - responsibility for	28
	Second Part - Session No. 19	39
	Mexican burden equally berne by Upper and Lower	
	Division	39
	No acknowledgment of Mexican rights until	
	established	41
	All-Imerican Canal to change conditions	43
	200,000 acres irrigated in Hexico in 1922	45
	McKisick - Mexican burden to come out of lower basin's 7,500,000 acre-feet	48
	7,500,000 acre-reet	40
Se	ssion No. 20 November 19, 1922	5 3
	Roster of Attendance	5 3
	Draft of paragraph about Mexican burden	5 3
	LicClure recognizes California portion of burden	
	under contract	55
	Norviel - doesn't like any recognition of Mexican	
	rights	68
	Agreement that upper basin supply half of Mexican	
	burden	6 9
	Preferential uses of water	7C
	Discussion of purposes of the compact	79
	Title - Preamble Definitions	8 3 8 5
	ippropriation between basins	88
	Indians	89
	Draft of compact	
	List of articles agreed to	90
a -		9 7
Ses	ssion No. 21 Movember 20, 1922	71
	Roster of Attendance	97
	Rights of Indians	97
	Protection of rights of states by legal action	98
	improval or consent by Congress	100
	Hr. Davis - no compact if disapproved by one legis-	101
	lature Horviel - ne time limit - indeterminate until some	
	logislature finally approves	101

1	Log of Topics - Continued	PAGE	
	Certification adopted McClure wanted compact not to be in effect until construction of dam in Boulder Canyon and flood control works	108	
	for protection of Imperial Valley Maintenance of minimum flow in lower basin	108 117	
	Second Part - Session No. 21 Carpenter - reservoir construction in entire basin Hoover - preliminary division 7,500,000 acre-feet to each basin	119 121 127 129	
5	Session No. 22 November 22, 1922	135) .
	Roster of Attendance . Agreement to omit all references to Mexico from minutes Apportionment	135 135 136	missing p. 135
	Additional 1,000,000 acre-feet - "of water" Deferment of date for future apportionment -	137	
	Carpenter Adoption of Article III Preferential rights and navigation Suggested clause to protect rights of United States	146 149 151 155	
	Hamele - enumerates rights of United States Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water	157 160	Missins
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22	160	Missing p.161+162
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water	160	Missing p.161+162
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the	160 163	Missing p.161+/62
s	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent	160 163 164	Missing p.161+/62
S	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights	160 163 164 167	Missing p.161+/62
. S	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights McClure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177	Missing p.161+/62
. S	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights McClure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water Hoover - Article III(a) affects only the lower basin	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 1 8 8 190	Missing p.161+/62
. S	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights Neclure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 188	Missing p.161+/62
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights NcClure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water Hoover - Article III(a) affects only the lower basin United States not a party	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 1 8 8 190 192	Missing p.161+/62
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights Neclure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water Hoover - Article III(a) affects only the lower basin United States not a party Time compact to become effective	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 1 8 8 190 192 203	Missing p.161+/62
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights NcClure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water Hoover - Article III(a) affects only the lower basin United States not a party Time compact to become effective ession No. 24 November 23, 1922 Roster of Attendance	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 188 190 192 203 207 207 207 207 209	M1951nd p.161+162
	Hoover - Congress gave states right to apportion water Second Part - Session No. 22 Upper Lasin has obligation to control the river - Hoover Carpenter - Article on purposes is guide to intent of framers ession No. 23 November 22, 1922 Roster of Attendance Draft of article on present perfected rights Esclure suggests omitting 4,000,000 acre-feet minimum flow Review of whole compact Hoover refers to 75,000,000 acre-feet as water Hoover - Article III(a) affects only the lower basin United States not a party Time compact to become effective ession No. 24 November 23, 1922 Roster of Attendance Definition of "domestic use"	160 163 164 167 169 169 176 177 188 190 192 203 207 207	Missing p.161+/62

Power generation subscrivent to dominant purposes Carpenter - right of power should not attach to surplus Storage contemplated A draft of compact	223 223 225 234 237 245 245 245 247 250 252
Storage contemplated 2 A draft of compact 2	234 237 245 245 246 247 250 252
	245 246 247 250 252
Session No. 25 November 23, 1922	246 247 250 252
Preamble Article 1 adopted Definitions (incl. definition of appertionment) Divide water or divide use of water 2	259
Navigation clause adopted Control of water within states Future controversies 20	261 261 262 262 264
Lower basin rights to come from storage when 5,000,000 acre-feet capacity	267 267
Session No. 26 November 24, 1922	2 73
Storage of water to satisfy lower basin rights 27 Gila River 27 Unperfected rights 27	273 273 275 276
	278 279
	2 8 0
its apportionment Hoover - Upper Basin only has to provide $7\frac{1}{2}$	2 8 4
million acre-fect per year Definition of apportionment stricken Motion to adopt compact for engrossment Dividing uses or dividing water	289 293 293 294 295

Log of Topics - Continued	PAGE
Session No. 27 Nevember 24, 1922	297
Roster of Attendance Appeal by Jay Turley filed	297 298
Hinutes of 9th and 10th sessions approved Girand Project	298 298
McClure of California objected to Girand license Girand license beyond jurisdiction of Commission	3 00 300
Resolution adopted asking early construction of a dam	303
Carpenter - appreciation to Chairman Hoover Response of Heover	304 304
Compact adopted as engressed	305

i .