Seventment Publications Division University of Colorado Librarias

JUN 27 1980

NINTH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

TO THE

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

AND THE

GOVERNORS
OF THE UPPER COLORADO
RIVER BASIN STATES



FOR THE PERIOD

APRIL 8, 1957 to MARCH 1, 1958

NINTH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

TO THE

PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

AND THE

GOVERNORS
OF THE UPPER COLORADO
RIVER BASIN STATES



FOR THE PERIOD

APRIL 8, 1957 to MARCH 1, 1958

IVAL V. GOSLIN ENGINEER - SECRETARY

UPPER COLORADO RIVER BASIN



March 25, 1958

Mr. President:

The Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission, as required by Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, is enclosed.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Respectfully yours,

|s| Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

The President The White House Washington 25, D. C.

Enclosure

March 25, 1958

Dear Governor McFarland:

In accordance with Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, we are pleased to submit the Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Sincerely yours,

s Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

Honorable Ernest W. McFarland Governor, State of Arizona Capitol Building Phoenix, Arizona

Enclosure

March 25, 1958

Dear Governor McNichols:

In accordance with Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, we are pleased to submit the Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Sincerely yours,

s Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

Honorable Stephen L. R. McNichols Governor, State of Colorado Capitol Building Denver, Colorado

Enclosure

March 25, 1958

Dear Governor Mechem:

In accordance with Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, we are pleased to submit the Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Sincerely yours,

s Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

Honorable Edwin L. Mechem Governor, State of New Mexico Capitol Building Santa Fe, New Mexico

Enclosure

March 25, 1958

Dear Governor Clyde:

In accordance with Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, we are pleased to submit the Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Sincerely yours,

|s| Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

Honorable George D. Clyde Governor, State of Utah Capitol Building Salt Lake City, Utah

Enclosure

March 25, 1958

Dear Governor Simpson:

In accordance with Article VIII(d) (13) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, we are pleased to submit the Ninth Annual Report of the Upper Colorado River Commission.

The budget of the Commission is attached as Appendix A.

Sincerely yours,

s Ival V. Goslin

Ival V. Goslin Engineer-Secretary

Honorable Milward L. Simpson Governor, State of Wyoming Capitol Building Cheyenne, Wyoming

Enclosure

NINTH ANNUAL REPORT

— TABLE OF CONTENTS —

Front	ispiece	Page
	of Upper Colorado River Basin	i
Letters of Transmittal		ii
I.	Introduction — Summary	1
II.	The Commission	2
III.	Committees	3
IV.	Advisers to Commissioners	4
V.	Staff	7
VI.	Roster of Meetings	8
VII.	Activities of the Commission	9
	A. Education - Information	9
	B. Engineering - Hydrology	11
VIII.	Colorado River Storage Project	
	and Participating Projects	14
	A. Appropriations of Funds	14
	B. Fiscal Data	16
	C. Authorized Storage Units	17
	1. Glen Canyon	17
	2. Flaming Gorge	18
	3. Navajo	19
	4. Curecanti	21

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

D. Initially Authorized Participating Projects	22
1. Authorized Colorado partcipating projects	22
a. Paonia	22
b. Florida	23
c. Smith Fork	24
d. Pine River Project Extension	24
e. Silt	25
2. Authorized New Mexico participating projects	25
a. Hammond	25
3. Authorized Utah Participating projects	26
a. Emery County	26
b. Central Utah (Initial phase)	26
4. Authorized Wyoming participating projects	27
a. La Barge	27
b. Lyman	28
c. Seedskadee	28
E. Projects Having Priority for Planning Un-	
der Public Law 485, 84th Congress, 2nd	
Session	30
IX. Governors' Conferences	32
X. Legal	33
XI. Findings of Fact	34
APPENDICES	
Appendix A —	
Budget for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959	35
Appendix B—	
Auditor's Report of June 30, 1957	36

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont'd)

Appendix C—	
Construction Contract Awards for Units of	
Colorado River Storage Project	
(Through January, 1958) and	47
Construction Contracts Scheduled for Bidding	
February-June, 1958	50
Appendix D—	
First Annual Report (of Secretary of the In-	
terior on status of revenues and expenditures)	
Colorado River Storage Project and participat-	
ing projects	51
Appendix E—	
Key Gaging Stations	57
Appendix F—	
Transmountain Diversions in Colorado	66
Appendix G—	
Transmountain Diversions in Utah	67
Relief map of Upper Colorado River Basin	68

NINTH ANNUAL REPORT UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION March 1, 1958

I. INTRODUCTION - SUMMARY

This Annual Report covers the activities of the Commission for the preceding year. It includes, among other things, the following:

Membership of the Commission, its Committees, Advisers, and staff;

Roster of meetings of the Commission;

Brief discussion of the activities of the Commission;

Brief description of the Storage Units and participating projects and of the status of their construction or investigations;

Appendices containing:

Fiscal data, such as: budget, balance sheet, statements of revenue and expense, etc.;

List of construction contract awards for Units of the Colorado River Storage Project;

First Annual Report of Secretary of the Interior on financial status of Colorado River Storage Project and participating projects;

List of gaging stations used by the Commission and transmountain diversions from the Upper Colorado River Basin.

For information pertaining to the activities of the Upper Colorado River Commission prior to March 6, 1957, the reader is referred to the Commission's Eighth Annual Report of March 7, 1957. In order that a more nearly recent account of the Commission's activities may be gained, this report includes the period to March 1, 1958.

II. COMMISSION



on ol-

nd

ng is;

its

he

nd

nd .do

is 7,

is-

to

Edwin C. Johnson Commissioner for Colorado



John H. Bliss Commissioner for New Mexico



Robert J. Newell Chairman Commissioner for United States



George D. Clyde Vice-Chairman Commissioner for Utah



Paul A. Rechard Commissioner for Wyoming

III. COMMITTEES

The Committees of the Commission convened when required during the year.

Committees and their membership, at the time of writing this report, are as follows (the Chairman and the Secretary are ex-officio members of all committees, Article V(4) of By-Laws):

STANDING COMMITTEES

Engineering Committee

J. R. Riter, Chairman John H. Bliss Royce J. Tipton Frank C. Merriell Ivan C. Crawford Jay R. Bingham Stephen E. Reynolds David P. Hale H. T. Person Paul A. Rechard Earl Lloyd G. B. Keesee Wayne D. Criddle

Legal Committee

E. R. Callister, Jr., Chairman J. Stuart McMaster Irwin S. Moise (Alt., Claud Mann) Felix L. Sparks Laurence Davis Thomas O. Miller

Budget Committee

John H. Bliss, Chairman Ivan C. Crawford J. R. Riter Norman W. Barlow

Jay R. Bingham

SPECIAL COMMITTEES

Finance Committee

Norman W. Barlow, Chairman Way I. J. Coury Dar

Wayne D. Criddle Dan Hunter

Education and Information Committee

Edwin C. Johnson, Chairman John H. Bliss Paul A. Rechard Jay R. Bingham

IV. ADVISERS TO COMMISSIONERS

The following individuals contributed greatly of their time and efforts as advisers to their respective Commissioners:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Legal

d

J. Stuart McMaster, Field Solicitor U. S. Department of the Interior Salt Lake City, Utah

Laurence Davis, Assistant General Counsel The Navajo Tribe Window Rock, Arizona

James D. Geissinger, Regional Solicitor U. S. Department of the Interior Denver, Colorado

Engineering

J. R. Riter, Chief Development Engineer Bureau of Reclamation Denver, Colorado

G. B. Keesee, Area Irrigation Engineer Bureau of Indian Affairs Gallup, New Mexico

COLORADO

Legal

Felix L. Sparks, Legal Counsel Colorado Water Conservation Board Delta, Colorado

Engineering

Royce J. Tipton, Consultant Colorado Water Conservation Board Denver, Colorado Ivan C. Crawford, Director Colorado Water Conservation Board Denver, Colorado

Frank C. Merriell, Consulting Engineer Grand Junction, Colorado

NEW MEXICO

Legal

Irwin S. Moise, Attorney-at-Law Albuquerque, New Mexico

Claud S. Mann Special Assistant Attorney General Albuquerque, New Mexico

Engineering

Stephen E. Reynolds, State Engineer Santa Fe, New Mexico

David P. Hale, Engineer New Mexico Interstate Stream Commission Santa Fe, New Mexico

UTAH

Legal

E. R. Callister, Jr., Attorney General Salt Lake City, Utah

Engineering

Jay R. Bingham, Director Utah Water and Power Board Salt Lake City, Utah

Wayne D. Criddle, State Engineer Salt Lake City, Utah Le

En

Alt

WYOMING

Legal

Thomas O. Miller, Attorney General Cheyenne, Wyoming

Howard Black, Deputy Attorney General Cheyenne, Wyoming

Engineering

Earl Lloyd, Deputy State Engineer Cheyenne, Wyoming

H. T. Person, Dean of School of Engineering University of Wyoming Laramie, Wyoming

Alternates in absence of Commissioner

Joe L. Budd Big Piney, Wyoming

Norman W. Barlow Cora, Wyoming



V. STAFF

Members of the staff of the Upper Colorado River Commission, at the time of compiling this report, are:

Ival V. Goslin, Engineer-Secretary

Mrs. Dixie S. Duncan, Administrative Assistant, February 4, 1957-January 31, 1958

Mrs. Dorothy Dye, Administrative Assistant, February 1, 1958

Barney L. Whatley, Treasurer

Richard T. Counley, Assistant Treasurer

Mrs. Lois P. Crowder, Official Reporter



mis

Mee

Mee

Mee

Mee

Mee

VI. MEETINGS OF THE COMMISSION

During the perod March 7, 1957 to March 1, 1958, the Commission met five times as follows:

March 18, 1957	Regular Meeting Boise, Idaho
April 23, 1957	Adjourned Regular Meeting Denver, Colorado
September 16, 1957	Annual Meeting Boise, Idaho
September 25, 1957	Adjourned Annual Meeting Santa Fe, New Mexico
December 20, 1957	Special Meeting Cheyenne, Wyoming

58



VII. ACTIVITIES OF THE COMMISSION

Within the scope and limitations of Article I (a) of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact "... to secure the expeditious agricultural and industrial development of the Upper Basin, the storage of water . . ." and under the powers conferred upon the Commission by Article VIII(d) pertaining to making studies of water supplies of the Colorado River and its tributaries and the power to "... do all things necessary, proper or convenient in the performance of its duties . . . , either independently or in cooperation with any state or federal agency," the principal activities of the Commission have consisted of: (A) an education and information program designed to aid in securing appropriations of funds by the U. S. Congress for the construction of the Colorado River Storage Project and participating projects that have been authorized for construction (P. L. 485, 84th Congress); and, (B) a modified program of hydrologic research on methods for applying the Inflow-Outflow Theory of measuring stream depletions in the Upper Colorado River Basin.

A. EDUCATION - INFORMATION

The Upper Colorado River Commission has directed its efforts toward promoting interstate cooperation, harmony, and united efforts; developing an understanding in other sections of the United States of the problems of the Upper Colorado River Basin; and the creation of a favorable attitude on the part of Congress toward the development of the industrial and agricultural resources of the Upper Colorado River Basin.

The Commission has continued to cooperate with members of the Congressional delegations from the Upper Colorado River Basin States and with officials of the Department of the Interior and the Bureau of Reclamation in seeking appropriations of funds by the Congress for the construction of the Storage Units and participating projects authorized for construction in Public Law 485, as well as funds for the investigations of additional participating projects that are given priority in planning in the authorizing Act. As part of this cooperation the Commission's Engineer-Secretary has been stationed in Washington, D. C., at intermittent periods acting as liaison between the Congress and States and various departments of government, supplying information, arranging and taking part in Congressional hearings, and providing other assistance requested.

Sto in inv upo the Riv

Bas of Der

Bur par plan the Cole

con

that

of c

beer ties tee's

Colo its of to the Upper

and and and of the River

The brochure and pamphlet entitled "The Colorado River Storage Project" have been revised to reflect changes to be made in the construction of proposed projects as the result of additional investigations and planning. Both of these publications are based upon the authorizing Act, P. L. 485. They illustrate and explain the major features in the initial phase of the Upper Colorado River Basin development.

r

r

h

)-

le

ge

0-V-

0-

ts

ef-

ed

he

he he

of

rer

ior ids ar-

85, ing

ct.

ary

ods

de-

and

ist-

The Commission's Relief Model of the Upper Colorado River Basin and adjacent areas has been displayed in the State Capitol of Wyoming in Cheyenne and in the State Office Building in Denver.

The Commissioners of our respective states and our staff have continued to actively urge the Department of the Interior and the Bureau of Reclamation to proceed with investigations of additional participating irrigation projects and with the completion of definite plan reports for certification by the Secretary of the Interior of the Curecanti Storage Unit on the Gunnison River in western Colorado.

The Upper Colorado River Commission is continuing the policy of cooperation with water organizations in all parts of the nation that have similar or mutual problems related to the orderly and progressive development of natural resources.

A new Special Committee on Education and Information has been created for the purpose of studying and recommending activities of the Commission in the categories indicated by this committee's name. For membership of this committee see page 3.

During the past year the organization known as the Upper Colorado River Grass Roots, Inc., was dissolved. Upon dissolution its officers presented its remaining assets, including \$12,607.92, to the Commission to be used to promote the development of the Upper Colorado River Basin.

Acknowledgments

The Commission especially wishes to recognize the difficult and able work of Members of the U. S. Congress, and the efforts and cooperation of the Governors of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming who have contributed so much to the development of the water and land resources of the Upper Basin of the Colorado River.

The Commission acknowledges with appreciation the assistance it has received from agencies of the Executive Branch of the Federal Government, the Department of the Interior, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Office of Indian Affairs, the U. S. Geological Survey, and the Department of Agriculture.

B. ENGINEERING - HYDROLOGY

Due to the pressure of other business and the lack of personnel the engineering-hydrological phases of the Commission's program have remained at a reduced level. Some technical studies were made by one engineer on a part-time schedule. No new formal engineering reports have been submitted to the Commission. Several inter-office progress reports on special problems were transmitted to the Engineer-Secretary.

The Commission is continuing the collection, assembling, and analyzing of hydrographic records pertaining to the Colorado River Basin.

Numerous project reports on proposed water-development projects compiled by various Federal agencies have been studied and analyzed.

Evaporation Stations

The Commission has approved the location of evaporation stations, now installed and in operation, for the purposes of studying pre-reservoir conditions and evaporation losses at:

Page, Arizona; Mexican Hat, Utah; Hite, Utah; Moab, Utah; and Greenriver, Utah, for Glen Canyon Reservoir;

Farmington, New Mexico, and Arboles, Colorado, for Navajo Reservoir;

Green River, Wyoming; Manila, Utah; and Flaming Gorge, Utah, for Flaming Gorge Reservoir.

tha Gu der me ing

lec

reof
sta
and
dit
ect

eva

ect of wo Ut nea For high cee the

the

ope deficlu the Riv

Ex and to

Wy

the

Locations for evaporation stations have not been finally selected for the Curecanti Storage Unit. It has been recommended that consideration be given to the establishment of stations at Gunnison, Colorado, or Cimarron, Colorado. It is recognized that determination of precise locations for evaporation recording equipment may need to be deferred until decision has been made regarding the locations of the dams of the Curecanti Storage Unit.

The Commission has further recommended that the existing evaporation station at Farson, Wyoming, be reviewed to assure that consistent records will be secured; that a station should be re-established at Vernal, Utah; and that studies should be made of the possibilities of locating additional evaporation recording stations in the vicinity of Lyman, Wyoming; Emery County, Utah; and Paonia, Colorado, for the purpose of studying pre-project conditions and evaporation losses from the three participating projects involved.

Stream Gaging Stations

Considerable attention is being given by the Commission to the problem of stream gaging stations that would be inundated by authorized reservoirs of the Storage Units and participating projects and the possible need for additional stations for the operation of the Storage Project. The Flaming Gorge Reservoir, when full, would inundate the gaging stations at Henrys Fork at Linwood, Utah, Blacks Fork near Green River, Wyoming, and Green River near Greendale, Utah. The stations on Henrys Fork and Blacks Fork will be moved upstream in order to locate them above the high water line of the reservoir. As soon as construction has proceeded at Flaming Gorge damsite to permit access to the reservoir, the Green River near Greendale, Utah, station will be moved downstream below the damsite. The gaging station at Green River, Wyoming, is expected to remain in operation, being located above the high water line of the Flaming Gorge Reservoir.

The selection of the locations of some gaging stations for operating purposes at the Curecanti Storage Unit will have to be deferred until it has been determined where the dams to be included in this Unit will be located. Consideration is being given to the possibility of constructing a gaging station on the Gunnison River close to the high water line of the upper reservoir site. Existing gaging stations on Tomichi Creek at Gunnison, Colorado, and on the Gunnison River near Gunnison, Colorado, will continue to be operated at their present locations. It has been agreed that

tne nu al

onroies

nal evns-

and ver

ent

staying

tah;

vajo

orge,

stations on the Gunnison River at the head of the Gunnison tunnel and on the diversions through the Gunnison tunnel should continue to be operated.

The gaging station on the San Juan River at Rosa, New Mexico, will need to be moved upstream to a location above the high water line of the Navajo Reservoir. A station will need to be established on the Piedra River and existing stations on Spring Creek at LaBoca, Colorado, and on the Pine River at LaBoca, Colorado, may need to be relocated. The problem of whether the gaging station on the San Juan River near Archuleta, New Mexico, will need to be moved to a location closer to the Navajo Dam because of inflows from large tributary washes in the area is to be further investigated.

The Glen Canyon Reservoir will flood the station at Hite, Utah, on the Colorado River. Studies will be made of the relationships of stream flow at the Hite station with those of the stations on the Colorado River near Cisco, Utah, and the Green River at Greenriver, Utah, before final decision is made regarding the necessity for a new gaging station to replace the one at Hite, Utah. Analyses will be made of the relationship between the combined discharges of the Green River at Greenriver, Utah, the Colorado River near Cisco, Utah, and the San Juan River near Bluff, Utah, with the discharge of the Colorado River at Lee Ferry. Previous studies will be submitted by considering also the discharge records for the San Rafael River, the Fremont River and the Escalante River. The primary purpose of these studies will be to establish the relationship between the inflow stations and the outflow station at Lee Ferry for pre-reservoir conditions.

Forecasts of Stream Flow

Forecasts of water supply have not been made by the Engineering Department, nor have any findings of fact pertaining to water deliveries or stream depletions been made. Forecasts of stream flow made by various other agencies are to be found in the files of the Commission.

13

85 of 19 Co

su

tot yez gre of of tio

Ve

pri to \$10 the

sup

VIII. Colorado River Storage Project and Participating Projects

A. Appropriations of Funds

)-

k

0,

1-

d

of

er

e, nns at he h. ed

h,

us

ds

ite

sh

ta-

En-

to

of

in

The President's budget message to the First Session of the 85th Congress on January 16, 1957 recommended an appropriation of \$25,142,000 to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund for the 1958 fiscal year for construction and advanced planning of the Colorado River Storage Project and participating projects. This sum was subsequently appropriated by the Congress and earmarked as follows:

Glen Canyon Storage Unit Flaming Gorge Storage Unit Navajo Storage Unit Advanced Planning Transmission lines division	\$17,300,000 4,800,000 1,800,000 1,142,000 100,000
Transmission lines division	\$25,142,000

With the unused carry-over funds from 1957 there was a total of \$27,540,858 available for activities in the 1958 fiscal year. The Bureau of the Budget did not recommend, and the Congress did not appropriate, monies for the initiation of construction of any participating projects in fiscal 1958, in spite of the pleas of project sponsors and testimony of witnesses before Appropriations Committees that the Paonia Project in Colorado and the Vernal Unit of the Central Utah Project had complied with all preparatory requirements and were ready for construction.

It was determined early in the 1958 fiscal year that the prime contractor constructing Glen Canyon Dam would be able to maintain an economic rate of construction and earn about \$10 million more than the appropriated amount. For this reason the Second Session of the 85th Congress has been asked to make a supplemental appropriation for the 1958 fiscal year of \$10 million for construction at Glen Canyon Dam and Reservoir.



Glen Canyon Dam as it will appear when completed

The President's budget message on January 13, 1958 to the Second Session of the 85th Congress recommended an appropriation to the Upper Colorado River Basin Fund of \$38,425,000. Of this sum, \$35,000,000 are designated for Glen Canyon Dam; \$2,500,000 for Flaming Gorge Dam; \$205,000 for transmission lines; and \$720,000 for advanced planning. There was no recommendation for construction funds for Navajo Dam. There was a proposal in the budget request that \$1,500,000 of the unobligated funds appropriated for fiscal 1958 for the Navajo Storage Unit be transferred to the Flaming Gorge Unit.

As in the previous year, there was no recommendation by the Bureau of the Budget for funds for initiating construction of the Paonia Project in Colorado and the Vernal Unit of the Central Utah Project in Utah during fiscal year 1959. The people of the Upper Colorado River Basin continue to hope that Congress will remedy this situation this year by providing funds in order that construction of irrigation facilities can proceed as expeditiously as possible.

Appendix C of this report consists of a tabulation of construction contract awards made by the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation for Units of the Colorado River Storage Project, and a list of construction contracts scheduled for bidding during the period February-June, 1958.

Glen Canyon Dam as II will appeal wilell complete

B. Fiscal Data

Section 6 of the Act authorizing the construction and operation of the Colorado River Storage Project and participating projects (Acts of April 11, 1956, 70 Stat. 105) stipulates that on January 1 of each year the Secretary of the Interior shall report to the Congress for the previous fiscal year upon the status of the revenues from, and the cost of constructing, operating, and maintaining the Colorado River Storage Project and participating projets. Appendix D of this report consists of the FIRST ANNUAL REPORT, COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS of the Secretary of the Interior to the Congress of the United States.

C. Authorized Storage Units

(Information relative to Storage Units and participating projects has been obtained from reports on investigations and activities of the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior.)

Of major importance to the Upper Colorado River Basin States is the fact that the construction of four Storage Units of the Colorado River Storage Project and the eleven participating projects was authorized in Section 1 of Public Law 485. The four authorized Storage Units are Glen Canyon, Flaming Gorge, Navajo and Curecanti. Combined they will provide about 34,670,000 acre-feet of reservoir capacity, and about 1,167,000 kilowatts of installed generating capacity.

1. Glen Canyon Unit

The Glen Canyon Dam and Reservoir will comprise the key Storage Unit and will be the largest of the initial four. It will provide about 80% of both the storage and generating capacity and will be roughly comparable in size to Hoover Dam and Lake Mead. The concrete gravity-arch dam located in northern Arizona on the Colorado River, 12.4 miles downstream from the Utah-Arizona state line, and 15.3 miles upstream from Lees Ferry will extend 573 feet above the river. It will be a little lower than Hoover Dam and will be the second highest dam in the United States. The reservoir will have a capacity of 28 million acre-feet and will extend 186 miles upstream on the Colorado River, and 71 miles up the San Juan River. The power house, which will be located at the toe of the dam, will have eight generating units with a total installed capacity of 900,000 kilowatts.

Construction Activities

Construction at Glen Canyon damsite has advanced along several lines during the past year. Highlight of the year was the April 29, 1957 award of the prime contract to Merritt-Chapman and Scott Corporation of New York for \$107,955,122. The prime contractor has established offices, warehouses, trailer housing, dormitories, mess halls, a commissary, a temporary water supply, and is preparing to furnish electricity to the City of Page. The prime contractor has also started the spillway excavation, excavation for the dam, highline erection, and has completed a suspension footbridge across the canyon. Excavation of the left diversion

p

2

(

tunnel and powerplant service road is being carried on under sub-contracts.

During the year the 25-mile Bitter Springs access highway was essentially completed. This highway has been built to the standards of a primary highway with Arizona paying 10% of the cost. The State of Utah has completed 40 miles of a required 57 miles that will help to link the right abutment of the dam with Kanab, Utah. The new 57 miles of highway begins 9 miles east of Kanab at the end of the existing State Highway No. 136 and ends at the Utah-Arizona state line. The remaining 9 miles of road from the Utah-Arizona line was built and oiled by the Bureau of Reclamation as a temporary road. It is expected that the State of Arizona will construct this remaining link to primary standards when the Colorado River Bridge is completed.

Satisfactory progress was made on this bridge during 1957 by the contractor Kiewit-Judson Pacific Murphy Company. The bridge abutments were completed and the skewbacks were nearing completion. Fabrication of the steel for the bridge is in process and it is expected that steel will be shipped to the bridge site and heavy steel rigging begun in March, 1958. Completion is scheduled for February, 1959.

The right diversion tunnel was holed through in November, 1957 and it is expected that final cleanup will be completed early in 1958.

Some \$6 1/3 million in contracts have been awarded for construction of community facilities for Page, Arizona. These contracts include streets, water and sewer distribution systems, sewage treatment plant and a water supply system that will pump water from the Colorado River. A contract was also awarded for the construction of 200 permanent three-bedroom homes to house Government personnel. A portion of the City of Page has been set aside for use by the prime contractor to establish housing or other buildings that will be used during the construction period.

2. Flaming Gorge Unit

n

f

r

1-

0

f

y 0-

ıd

d.

ne na nd

m

ne

xip

ne

n-

ng

he

an ne ng,

ly, he

va-

ion

The Flaming Gorge Dam and Reservoir will be located on the Green River in northeastern Utah, 40 miles north of Vernal, Utah,

and 32 miles downstream from the Utah-Wyoming state line. The dam will be a concrete thin-arch structure rising 445 feet above the river. The reservoir will have a capacity of 3,930,000 acre-feet and will extend upstream 94 miles, nearly to the town of Green River, Wyoming. The power plant will have an installed generating capacity of 108,000 kilowatts.

r

I

C

a

p

p

2

a

n

r

0

a

f

a

S

t]

0

Construction Activities

Activity during 1957 has centered on construction of access roads and community facilities.

The temporary access road for the Flaming Gorge community and the damsite connects with a paved highway at Linwood, Utah, which is located about 45 miles south of Green River, Wyoming, the nearest railhead. In January, 1957 a contract for a 7.6-mile section of the access road and a temporary pile bridge over the Green River was awarded to the Wangsgaard Construction Company. This same contractor is now working on an additional 7.8-mile section of the access road, part of which will be permanent highway. The second section is scheduled for completion by June, 1958. A contract to be awarded during 1958 will complete the access road to the left abutment of the dam.

Construction of facilities for the Flaming Gorge community was initiated in July, 1957 with the award of a \$2.6 million contract to the Witt Construction Company of Provo, Utah. This contract includes the building of streets, water, sewage, and electrical distribution systems, sewage disposal plant, and 80 permanent and temporary houses for Government workers. Domestic water will be pumped from the Green River and treated. Other contracts have been awarded for a temporary office, warehouse, and garages. A grade school is being constructed in the townsite area by the Daggett County School Board.

It is planned to award a contract for the diversion tunnel in 1958. However, because of a budgetary limitation on construction funds, award of the prime contract for construction of the dam and power plant will be deferred.

3. Navajo Unit

The Navajo Dam will be located in northwestern New Mexico on the San Juan River, 34 miles east of Farmington, and $3\frac{1}{2}$

miles downstream from the confluence of Los Pinos and San Juan Rivers. The dam will be of rolled earth-fill embankment type. The original plan included a reservoir with a capacity of 1,450,000 acre-feet. More recent studies, however, indicate a reservoir size of 1,700,000 acre-feet with an active capacity of 1,028,000 acre-feet. After a joint study of the optimum capacity of the reservoir by the State of New Mexico and the Bureau of Reclamation, the Interstate Stream Commission of the State recommended that a 1,700,000 acre-foot reservoir be constructed. Sediment accumulation in the reservoir will be heavy and will amount to 330,000 acre-feet over a 100-year period, much of the sediment being deposited in the inactive section of the reservoir. This reservoir will be used to regulate the flows of the San Juan River for the potential Navajo Indian Irrigation Project near Farmington, the San Juan-Chama Project on the eastern side of the mountains, and perhaps for the small authorized Hammond Project. A part of the water made available to the Navajo Irrigation Project also may be used for industrial and municipal purposes. A small amount of flood protection to downstream developments and some recreational facilities will also be provided.

Construction Activities

0

d

S

r

nal

1-У

e

y

1-

is

d

r-

ic

er

e, te

n

n

m

/2

Construction activities during 1957 was based on a program that would have permitted the award of a prime contract about April, 1958. A \$260,000 contract was awarded on October 24 for construction of streets, utilities, and 15 temporary residences for a temporary Government camp about five miles downstream from the damsite. Preconstruction work has included procurement of design data and exploratory drilling of the damsite and borrow areas.

On January 24, 1958 the State of New Mexico let a contract for the construction of an access road to the proposed Navajo Dam at a cost of \$481,442.85. The Bureau of Reclamation has agreed to spend \$400,000 towards the cost of this road with the State of New Mexico paying the balance.

Budgetary limitations on construction funds for projects in the new-start category, as outlined in the President's budget message to Congress, will now delay the award of a prime contract on Navajo Dam.

4. Curecanti Unit

The Curecanti Storage Unit will be located on the Gunnison River in western Colorado, upstream from the Black Canyon National Monument and downstream from the town of Gunnison. In order to prevent damage to property near the town, the authorizing legislation provides that Curecanti Dam shall be constructed to a height to store not less than 940,000 acre-feet of water, or to create a reservoir of such greater capacity as can be obtained by a high water line located at 7,520 feet above sea level. Construction will not be commenced until further engineering and economic studies have been made and until the Secretary of the Interior has certified to the Congress and the President that the Curecanti Unit is economically justifiable.

Studies show that a favorable plan would include a series of several dams, reservoirs, and power plants along the 35-mile river section. These power plants would have an installed generating capacity of about 159,000 kilowatts and would develop about 939 feet of static power head. The Curecanti Reservoir, the highest upstream of the series, would be formed by Blue Mesa Dam, located 30 miles downstream from Gunnison. This dam, about 350 feet high, would create a reservoir with a capacity of about 940,000 acre-feet at a high water elevation of 7,520 feet. This reservoir would provide seasonal regulation for a power plant at Blue Mesa Dam and for power plants at other dams in the 15-mile reach of river downstream. Detailed planning investigations of the Curecanti Unit should be completed in the near future.

Construction Activities

Investigations of the Curecanti Storage Unit are being conducted from the Upper Colorado River office in Salt Lake City, Utah. One immediate problem is to determine whether a single high dam at Morrow Point, or a lower dam there, in combination with another dam upstream at the Blue Mesa site, should be used to develop the power head above Morrow Point. Cost estimates for alternatives are now being prepared by the Bureau of Reclamation. A special report to meet the requirements for Secretarial Certification under the authorizing Act is to be prepared by January, 1959.

an wl for pa

us

-48

be fir pa of led

to

pr

un

or ar 2d fo pa re

sta 19

pr

pr

Pa fee

D. Initially Authorized Participating Projects

Of the eleven participating projects authorized by Public Law 485, five are in Colorado, one is in New Mexico, two are in Utah, and three are located in Wyoming. Participating projects are those which will consume water of the Upper Colorado River System for irrigation, municipal, and industrial purposes, and which will participate in the use of revenues in the Basin Fund to help repay the costs of irrigation features beyond the ability of the water users to repay.

It has been impossible for the Bureau of Reclamation to undertake work simultaneously on all eleven participating projects because of the large amount of investigational work needed to firm the development plans and to make cost estimates and repayment studies. Therefore, the Bureau has sought the advice of officials of each State and on their recommendations has selected specific projects on which to concentrate its work in order to get construction underway on three or four of the participating projects as soon as possible.

At the date of this report none of the participating projects or divisions thereof authorized for construction by Public Law 485 are under construction. The President's Budget Request to the 2d Session of the 85th Congress did not contain a recommendation for the appropriation of construction funds for any of the participating irrigation projects; although two, as noted below, have been ready for construction since mid-1957. As a result of the "no new starts" policy initiated by the Bureau of the Budget for fiscal 1959 it is impossible to predict when construction of participating projects will commence.

A brief description of each of the authorized participating projects and the present status of its investigations follow:

1. Authorized Colorado Participating Projects

a. Paonia Project

f

n

This project is located near Paonia and Hotchkiss in west-central Colorado on the North Fork of the Gunnison River. The Paonia Reservoir will be constructed to a capacity of 21,000 acrefeet. The Fire Mountain Canal will be completed, enlarged, and

extended to distribute the project water. By these means, the irrigation water supply will be improved for an additional 13,070 acres of irrigated land and a full water supply provided for about 2,230 acres of new land. Fish and wildlife values in the area will be enhanced, and flood damages will be decreased.

6,

F

pi

er

ti ta

L

pi

C

ar

c.

th

It

D

di

or di

to

of

of

W

fo

er

Co

fu

d.

Pi

N

ra

Re

lai

The Paonia Project was found to be feasible by the Secretary of the Interior, March 16, 1939. It was recommended for construction (equivalent to authorization) by the President on March 18, 1939. The project was re-authorized by the Act of June 25, 1947 (16 Stat. 181). The continuance of construction was again reauthorized as one of the participating projects of the Colorado River Storage Project Act of April 11, 1956 (70 Stat. 105).

As a result of the re-authorization in 1947 the Congress appropriated \$3,030,000 for construction. About ten years ago the North Fork Water Conservancy District signed a repayment contract under which the Bureau of Reclamation enlarged and extended the Fire Mountain Canal at a cost of approximately \$2 million. The Paonia Dam and Reservoir, which are in the current authorization, would provide the water necessary to supplement the low stream flows that occur each year.

The advanced planning for this project has been completed. The Definite Plan Report (May, 1957) of the Bureau of Reclamation and the Report of the Reappraisal of the Direct Agricultural Benefits and Project Impacts of the Department of Agriculture (April, 1957) have been approved by the respective Department Secretaries and transmitted to the Bureau of the Budget. It has been determined that the present repayment contract with the water users can be amended to include costs of additional project features.

Construction of this project can be resumed as soon as funds are provided by the Congress.

b. Florida Project

The Florida Project is located in southwestern Colorado, southeast of Durango in the Florida River Valley and on Florida Mesa. Its principal features include the construction of Lemon Dam on the Florida River with a reservoir capacity of 23,300 acrefeet, enlargement of the Florida Farmers Ditch, and the construction of a new diversion dam. The laterals will be constructed to

6,300 acres of project lands. Drainage facilities will also be built. Flood control and fish and wildlife values will be improved. The project includes 6,300 acres of new land and 12,650 acres of presently irrigated land needing a supplemental water supply. Investigational work needed for a Definite Plan Report has included the taking of project land topography. Topographic surveys of the Lemon Reservoir site have been taken and about 50% of the project lands have been classified in detail.

Completion of a Definite Plan Report is scheduled for 1959. Construction could begin thereafter as soon as construction monies are appropriated.

c. Smith Fork Project

The Smith Fork Project is located in Delta County, along the Smith Fork of the Gunnison River near Crawford, Colorado. Its principal features will include the construction of Crawford Dam and Reservoir on Iron Creek, capacity 14,000 acre-feet, a diversion from Smith Fork Dam on Smith Fork with head works on a sluiceway, a Smith Fork Feeder Canal 2 3/4 miles from the diversion dam and Crawford Reservoir, and 6.6 miles of canals to project lands. The acreage to be irrigated contains 2,270 acres of new land in the vicinity of Crawford, Colorado, and 8,160 acres of land presently irrigated but needing a supplemental supply of water.

The people of the area have formed a conservancy district for entering into a repayment contract with the Federal Government.

Present scheduling calls for a Definite Plan Report in 1958. Construction could commence as soon thereafter as construction funds are available.

d. Pine River Project Extension

This project involves an extension to be made to the existing Pine River Project in southwestern Colorado and northwestern New Mexico on Pine River about 20 miles east of Durango, Colorado. This extension will provide water from the existing Vallecito Reservoir for the irrigation of about 15,150 acres of irrigable land, of which about 1,940 acres are within the Pine River Indian

ir-70 out vill

ry ac-18, 47

reido

ap-

che onexely urup-

ed. elaeulgri-De-

et. ith nal

nds

do,

ida non ere-

ucto Irrigation Project. The project will involve an enlargement and extension of eight major canals and ditches diverting from Pine River, a new diversion dam, and several small distribution laterals. Of the acreage irrigated, 14,520 acres of new land are in Colorado and 630 acres in New Mexico. Completion of the Definite Plan Report is scheduled for 1959.

e. Silt Project

An improved water supply for more than 5,400 acres of partially irrigated land, and a full supply for about 1,900 acres of new land will be provided by construction of the Silt Project between Rifle and Elk Creeks in west-central Colorado. Construction features will include the Rifle Gap Reservoir of 10,000 acrefeet capacity, a pumping plant, diversion dam and feeder canal, rehabilitation of existing works and construction of laterals and drains.

2. Authorized New Mexico Participating Projects

a. Hammond Project

The Hammond Project is located in northwestern New Mexico along the southern bank of the San Juan River and opposite the towns of Blanco, Bloomfield, and Farmington. The project will provide irrigation water for 3,900 acres, of which 3,180 acres are not now irrigated. The remaining 720 acres are now irrigated by pumping water from the San Juan River. The pumps will be abandoned when project water becomes available.

The project works will consist of the Hammond Diversion Dam on the San Juan River, the main gravity canal, a hydraulic-turbine-driven pumping plant, three main laterals, distribution laterals, and a drainage system.

Completion of a Definite Plan Report is now scheduled for some time in 1958. Construction could begin immediately thereafter if Congress authorizes the appropriation of funds.

25

fo Co Da

a.

clu 57 sic 17 ve

as

b.

st: th

ot mil

tr: an ge 61

pl

Rean wo

For play

ex

3. Authorized Utah Participating Projects

a. Emery County Project

The Emery County Project would provide supplemental water for 20,450 acres of land and a full supply for 3,630 acres in Emery County in east-central Utah near the towns of Huntington, Castle Dale, and Orangeville. Principal construction features would include the Joes Valley Dam and Reservoir which would impound 57,000 acre-feet of water on Cottonwood Creek, the Swasey Diversion Dam located ten miles downstream from Joes Valley, and the 17-mile Cottonwood-Huntington Canal heading at the Swasey Diversion Dam. Canals, laterals and drains would be constructed as required.

b. Central Utah Project (Initial Phase)

The Central Utah Project (initial phase) would intercept streams draining the southern slope of the Uinta Mountains in the Colorado River Basin and would convey the water by gravity flow through the Wasatch Mountains to the Bonneville Basin for power generation, irrigation, municipal and industrial use, and other purposes in central Utah. Water conservation for irrigation, municipal use, and other purposes would also be accomplished in Utah's Uinta Basin, a part of the Colorado River Basin. Supplemental water would be furnished for 131,840 acres and a full supply for 28,540 acres not presently irrigated. Municipal and industrial water averaging 48,800 acre-feet annually would be provided, and power averaging 373,000,000 kilowatt-hours annually would be generated at project plants with installed capacities totaling 61,000 kilowatts.

The potential Strawberry Aqueduct would intercept flows of Rock Creek and other Unita Mountain streams west of Rock Creek and convey the water to the existing Strawberry Reservoir which would be enlarged by the construction of Soldier Creek Dam below the present dam. The reservoir water would be released through an enlargement of the present tunnel to the headwaters of Spanish Fork River in the Bonneville Basin. In descending Spanish Fork Canyon, the water would flow through a series of power plants before being consumptively used in the central Utah area. Through various exchanges and by the construction of the required facilities, the water would be made available to an area extending from Salt Lake City south 75 miles to Nephi.

res ect rucerenal,

and

of

ind ine er-

in

the will are by

sion aliction

ome r if New project works that would develop water for use in the Uinta Basin would include Hanna Reservoir on the North Fork of Duchesne River, Starvation Reservoir on Strawberry River with a feeder canal from the Duchesne River, Upalco Reservoir offstream from Lake Fork River, Stanaker Reservoir with a feeder canal from Ashley Creek, and Tyzack Reservoir on Brush Creek.

The Vernal Unit of this project has been given a high priority. The advanced planning on the Vernal Unit has been completed. The Definite Plan Report (May, 1957) of the Bureau of Reclamation and the Report of the Reappraisal of the Direct Agricultural Benefits and Project Impacts of the Department of Agriculture (April, 1957) have been approved by the respective Department Secretaries and transmitted to the Bureau of the Budget.

The proper type of conservancy district for executing a repayment contract with the Federal Government has been organized by the people of the area.

Construction of the Vernal Unit could be initiated as soon as funds are provided by the Congress.

4. Authorized Wyoming Participating Projects

a. La Barge Project

The La Barge Project is in the Green River Basin in Lincoln and Sublette Counties. The project lands are situated between South Piney Creek and La Barge Creek along the west side of the Green River in a narrow strip about 30 miles long with an average width of $1\frac{1}{2}$ miles. The irrigation of 7,970 acres of arable dry lands is contemplated.

As presently planned, this project would involve a direct flow diversion from the Green River. Water would be carried in the 39-mile long Green River Canal to serve the project area. The canal heading would be about 6 miles east of Big Piney, Wyoming, and would be placed low enough in the west bank of the river to eliminate the need for a diversion dam as previously planned. Distribution laterals and drains will be constructed as needed.

19 at tw

b.

lan A cres plu Fo

ed

ter to

Pr for

fac

c.

of we sio Gr an

app

for

pu

For

Completion of a Definite Plan Report is now scheduled for 1961. Construction could commence as soon as funds are appropriated by the Congress. This small project could be completed in two to three years after the start of construction.

b. Lyman Project

Supplemental irrigation water amounting to an average of 32,500 acre-feet annually would be provided for 40,600 acres of land along Blacks Fork of the Green River near Lyman, Wyoming. A dam would be constructed at the Bridger site on Willow Creek, creating a reservoir with a total capacity of 43,000 acre-feet. Surplus flows of Blacks Fork and its tributary, West Fork of Smiths Fork, would be conveyed to the reservoir by separate feeder canals. About 7½ miles of the Willow Creek channel below the reservoir would be enlarged, and three canals would be constructed to divert flows from the enlarged channel. Existing canal systems would be improved and extended as necessary, in addition to construction of new drains.

Miscellaneous investigations are proceeding on the Lyman Project. The completion of a Definite Plan Report is now scheduled for 1961. Construction could begin thereafter as soon as the money is made available. With the exception of the drainage facilities a period of four or five years will be required for construction.

c. Seedskadee Project

The Seedskadee Project would provide for the irrigation of approximately 60,720 acres of dry arable land along both sides of the Green River in an area extending from 14 to 50 miles northwest of Green River, Wyoming. Original plans call for the diversion by the Seedskadee Diversion Dam of water directly from the Green River. The project works would include a diversion dam and a system of canals and laterals with two hydraulic-driven pumps and a drainage system. Modification of the plan to include storage at the Fontenelle Dam site on the Green River, located four miles upstream from project lands, may be found desirable during the definite plan stage of the investigations.

In the modified plan, canals would divert water directly from Fontenelle Reservoir on each side of the river. The reservoir would

-28-

a am nal

he

of

mof ect

ive

he

rean-

oon

oln een of an

ble

ect in ea.

the sly as be constructed to a capacity of 370,000 acre-feet of which 275,000 acre-feet would be dead storage. With the reservoir a larger irrigable area of farm units (61,900 acres) would be served with smaller annual water shortages than with the direct diversion plan.

F

ei S

of

th

R

SC

si

th

po

di

pr

pl

na

st

Potential fish and wildlife and recreational developments have been cooperatively studied by the National Park Service and Fish and Wildlife Service. One proposal has been to create a large bird refuge in conjunction with the Seedskadee Project.

Current studies seem to favor the modified plan with storage created by Fontenelle Dam. Detailed studies are proceeding on this plan.

The Seedskadee Project has been given first priority in Wyoming. Completion of a Definite Plan Report by the Bureau of Reclamation is scheduled for 1958. Construction could begin as soon as this report is completed and construction funds are appropriated by the Congress. Eight years will be required to construct project features excepting the drainage system.



E. Projects Having Priority for Planning Under Public Law 485, 84th Congress, 2d Session

00

rith on

ve

sh

rd

ge

nis

VO-

of

as

ro-

uct

In carrying out further investigations of projects under Federal Reclamation Laws in the Upper Colorado River Basin, the Secretary of the Interior is directed to give priority to completion of planning reports on twenty-five projects. Brief descriptions of these projects are to be found in the Commission's Eighth Annual Report.

The Bureau of Reclamation, so far as limited funds and personnel will permit, is continuing its studies on these projects. Considerable progress in investigations has been accomplished during the past year. Two projects, the Navajo Indian Irrigation Project and the San Juan-Chama Project, had supplemental feasibility reports completed during 1957, and authorizing legislation has been drafted for introduction in the Congress. Other projects in the priority-of-planning category are in various stages of detailed planning for feasibility reports or preliminary studies for reconnaissance reports.

A complete list of these projects given priority for planning status by P. L. 485, the Colorado River Storage Project Act, follows:

COLORADO

Juniper Project (not classified in legislation—its status as either a Storage Unit or participating project will be determined by the type of development planned.)

Participating Projects

Parshall Dallas Creek Bluestone Yellow Jacket Troublesome Fruitland Mesa Rabbit Ear Bostwick Park Eagle Divide Dolores Battlement Mesa Animas-La Plata Tomichi Creek West Divide East River San Miguel Ohio Creek Savery-Pot Hook (partly in Wyoming) Fruit Growers Dam Grand Mesa

Project Extension

NEW MEXICO

Participating Projects

G

a

aı

Si

th ou Oc

pr

of

De

of ex Co po:

Navajo Indian Irrigation San Juan-Chama

UTAH

Participating Project

Gooseberry

WYOMING

Participating Projects

Sublette

Savery-Pot Hook (partly in Colorado)



IX. GOVERNORS' CONFERENCES

On September 24, 1957 Governor McNichols of Colorado, Governor Mechem of New Mexico, Governor Clyde of Utah, and Governor Simpson of Wyoming met in a two-day session with members of the Upper Colorado River Commission, their advisers and the Commission staff in Santa Fe, New Mexico, for the purpose of discussing problems related to the development of water and land resources of mutual interest to the four Upper Division States of the Colorado River Basin.

In response to an invitation from the Assistant Secretary of the Interior in charge of Water and Power, Mr. Fred G. Aandahl, our Governors and Commissioners met in Washington, D. C., on October 24, 1957, with officials of the Department of the Interior and the Governors of Arizona, California and Nevada to discuss procedures for the filling of Glen Canyon Reservoir.

The meeting on October 24 was followed by another meeting of the same type for the same purpose in Las Vegas, Nevada, on December 4 and 5, 1957.

Our four Governors are to be commended for their unanimity of agreement and action on fundamental issues involved in the expeditious industrial and agricultural development of the Upper Colorado River Basin and for their intense interest in and support of the Upper Colorado River Commission.



X. LEGAL

Col

by

At the present time the organization of the Upper Colorado River Commission does not include a legal department. The Legal Committee is composed of legal advisers to the Commissioners from the respective States. The legal adviser to a Commissioner from a given State is employed by and is responsible to that State. The Chairman, who is a representative of the Federal Government, has his own legal advisers whose services are furnished by the United States. In some instances the legal adviser to a Commissioner is the Attorney General of his State. In other cases the legal adviser is a special attorney employed by the State. Therefore, the personnel of the Legal Committee of the Upper Colorado River Commission changes from time to time. In the past, this plan has worked very well; although the Commission at various times has considered the advisablity of establishing a legal section to act for the Commission.

In accordance with the By-Laws of the Commission the Chairman and the Engineer-Secretary are ex-officio members of the Legal Committee.

During the past year the members of the Legal Committee have worked in close cooperation with one another in keeping in touch with litigation pending in the Supreme Court between Arizona and California involving the use of waters of the Colorado River. They have also kept the members of the Commission informed concerning important aspects of this history-making lawsuit.

The Chairman of the Legal Committee on several occasions has suggested to the Commission that there are certain issues being raised in *Arizona v. California*, or certain contentions made by the parties thereto which should be closely studied by the Commission and the Legal Departments of its member-States.

There were only a few problems that required formal action of the Legal Committee since the date of the last Annual Report.

XI. FINDINGS OF FACT

No findings of fact pursuant to Article VIII of the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact have been made by the Upper Colorado River Commission. No part of this Annual Report, or the information contained herein, is to be construed as findings of fact by the Commission.

ado

egal

ners

oner ate.

ernl by
comases
cate.
oper
the
sion
ng a

nairthe

ittee g in veen rado i inlaw-

s bee by Com-

etion port.



34

APPENDIX A

BUDGET

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 1959

PERSONAL SERVICES

Administrative Salaries Engineering Salaries Clerk-Stenographer	\$17,000.00 22,500.00 3,000.00	\$42,500.00
CURRENT EXPENSE		
Social Security Rent and Janitor service Assistant Treasurer Accounting, Legal Services, Reporting Telephone and Telegraph Insurance and Bond Premiums Printing Engineering Services, aerial photos, maps, etc. Miscellaneous	500.00 3,355.00 300.00 2,800.00 1,200.00 850.00 1,900.00 3,500.00 825.00	15,230.00
CAPITAL OUTLAY		700.00
INFORMATION		7,500.00
TRAVEL		6,500.00
OFFICE SUPPLIES AND POSTAGE		2,570.00
TOTAL ESTIMATED EXPENSE Fiscal Year July 1, 1958, through Ju	ne 30, 1959	\$75,000.00

APPENDIX B

REPORT OF EXAMINATION
UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION
GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO
June 30, 1957

-36-

DALBY & McNULTY Certified Public Accountants First National Bank Building Grand Junction, Colorado September 17, 1957

Water E. Dalby, C.P.A. John E. McNulty, C.P.A.

Upper Colorado River Commission Grand Junction, Colorado

We have examined the balance sheets of the General Fund and the Property and Equipment Fund of the Upper Colorado River Commission as of June 30, 1957, and the related statement of revenue and expense for the year then ended. Our examination was made in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, and accordingly included such tests of the accounting records and such other auditing procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

In our opinion, the accompanying balance sheets and revenue and expense statement present fairly the financial position of the Upper Colorado River Commission at June 30, 1957, and the results of its operatons for the year then ended, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles applied on a basis consistent with that of the preceding year.

s Dalby & McNulty

Certified Public Accountants

Not

CA

AC

RE

PR

PR

PR

AC SO

RE

UN

BALANCE SHEET—GENERAL FUND

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

June 30, 1957

ASSETS

CASH

S

Office cash fund

25.00 51 504 25 951 610 25 Domand danagit Note C

Demand deposit—Note C	51,594.55	\$51,619.35
ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE—		
Employees' payroll tax		10.78
RETURNABLE DEPOSIT—		
United Air Lines		425.00
PREPAID RENT—Monte Building		193.60
PREPAID INSURANCE		120.00
PREPAID SUPPLIES		98.00
		-
		\$52 466 73

LIABILITIES, RESERVE AND FUND BALANCE

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE—Note A	\$ 1,303.67
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX PAYABLE	21.56
RESERVE—Assessments received in advance from State of New Mexico	8,437.50
UNAPPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE Balance at July 1, 1956—Note C \$24,290.13	2
Add—Excess of revenues over expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1957 18,413.8	8 42,704.00
ended June 30, 1337 13,413.5	42,104.00
	\$52,466,73

Note — The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

BALANCE SHEET—PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT FUND

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

June 30, 1957

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (at Cost)

RE

EX

\$18,963.56

Furniture and fixtures	\$ 8,178.25
Automobile	3,311.89
Engineering equipment	1,533.65
Motion picture film	2.00
Upper Colorado basin relief model	5,937.77
	\$18,963.56

FUND BALANCE

Investment in property and equi ment July 1, 1956	ip-	\$17,545.50
Transactions for fiscal year ended June 30, 1957:		
Additions	\$4,141.84	
	, ,	
Retirements	2,723.78	1,418.06

Investment in property and equip-	
ment at June 30, 1957	\$18,963.56

Note — The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENSE

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1957

	Budget Amoun (As Revised) May 31, 1957	Actual Amount	Actual Amount Over-Under*
REVENUE		AE0 500 14	\$ 895.06*
Assessments—Note B State of Colorado assessment deficit for year 1956 to be paid in year	\$74,625.20	\$73,730.14	\$ 895.06*
1957—Note B	336.54	_0_	336.54*
Miscellaneous revenues	0	132.80	132.80
Sale of equipment	0	85.00	85.00
TOTAL REVENUE	\$74,961.74	\$73,947.94	\$ 1,013.80*
EXPENSE			
Personal services:	e16 500 00	\$16,499.94	\$.06*
Administrative salaries	\$16,500.00 18,900.00	6,640.00	12,260.00*
Engineering salaries Clerical salaries	3,000.00	2,757.88	242.12*
FICA tax	500.00	384.27	115.73*
	\$38,900.00	\$26,282.09	\$12,617.91*
Capital outlay:			- 04F 00*
Automobile	\$ 2,500.00	\$ 2,253.00	\$ 247.00*
Furniture and fixtures	1,200.00	844.37	355.63*
	\$ 3,700.00	\$ 3,097.37	\$ 602.63*
Office supplies and postage Grand Junction office Information and education Advertising agency	\$ 2,000.00	\$ 1,859.27	\$ 140.73*
retainer	\$ 4,800.00	\$0	\$ 4,800.00*
Exhibits	500.00	154.08	345.92*
Publications	5,000.00	4,283.16	716.84*
Public relations	700.00	769.04	69.04
	\$11,000.00	\$ 5,206.28	\$ 5,793.72*
Travel	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 4,654.62	\$ 2,845.38*
	40		

STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENSE (continued)

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1957

E R

	Budget Amou (As Revised) May 31, 1957	Actual	Actual Amount Over-Under*
EXPENSE (Cont'd)			
Current expenses:			
Assistant treasurer	\$ 300.00	\$ 300.00	\$ —0—
Janitor	480.00	480.00	_0_
Rent Grand Junction office	2,874.00	2,552.70	321.30*
Legal services	500.00	_0_	500.00*
Reporting	1,800.00	453.45	1,346.55*
Telephone and telegraph	1,200.00	1,283.01	83.01
Insurance and bond premium	850.00	561.75	288.25*
Accounting	500.00	440.00	60.00*
Printing office forms	400.00	234.20	165.80*
Printing annual report	2,100.00	2,213.85	113.85
Engineering service supplies	400.00	15.40	384.60*
Miscellaneous	457.74	401.87	55.87*
	\$11,861.74	\$ 8,936.23	\$ 2,925.51*
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$74,961.74	\$50,035.86	\$24,925.88*
EXCESS OF GROSS R OVER ACTUAL E		\$23,912.08	\$23,912.08

STATEMENT OF REVENUE AND EXPENSE (continued)

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1957

	udget Amount (As Revised) May 31, 1957	Actual Amount	Actual Amount Over-Under*
EXPENSE (Cont'd)			
REFUND OF ASSESSMENTS-	—NOTE B:		
State of Colorado	\$	2,971.97	
State of New Mexico		719.25	
State of Utah		1,470.44	
Upper Colorado River Commi deficiency in assessment from State of Colorado f year ended June 30, 1956	payment	336.54	
	\$	5,498.20	
EXCESS OF NET REOVER ACTUAL EX		18,413.88	

Note — The accompanying notes to financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

June 30, 1957

Note A—At June 30, 1957, unrecorded liability of the Commission to its full-time employees for accrued annual leave pay amounted to \$528.78. According to Commission policy (effective January 1, 1957) each employee is expected to take annual leave of 16 days each calendar year (not necessarily consecutively) during which period of time regular salary payments are continued. The unused portions of annual leave are not carried forward from year to year.

Note B—The State of Wyoming underpaid its assessment for fiscal year ended June 30, 1957, in the amount of \$895.06 and the State of Colorado also underpaid its assessment for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1956, in the amount of \$336.54. The Commission, on October 2, 1956, approved pro rata refunds to the three states which had fully paid the assessments for this fiscal year and the amount of refund due the State of Colorado was reduced by the amount of the deficiency in its assessment payment for the preceding year. The net amount of assessment paid by each state for the fiscal year, after giving effect to the amounts refunded follows:

State	Per cent of Total	Assessment Paid
Colorado	51.75	\$35,310.03
Wyoming	14.00	9,552.47
New Mexico	11.25	7,676.09
Utah	23.00	15,693.35
TOTAL	100.00	\$68,231.94

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

June 30, 1957

Note C—The balance sheet and statement of revenue and expense do not give effect to that portion of the budget for fiscal year ended June 30, 1957, which proposes that— "any carry-over from the fiscal year ended June 30, 1956, would be used to purchase an auto for \$2,500.00, and that the balance of such carry-over would be placed in a building fund or emergency fund." Since the Commission, on February 28, 1957, revised its budget for the fiscal year to include a \$2,500.00 appropriation for an auto by transfer of such funds from the amount originally appropriated for Engineers' salaries, and since the Commission made no further definitive provisions to establish a building or emergency fund, we assume that the Commission did not adopt the proposal referred to in this budget. If the Commission intended to establish a building or emergency fund, the amount of \$20,072.31 should be transferred from unappropriated fund balance to such fund and the proceeds used to purchase short-term United States Government securities, as directed in the proposal. The amount of \$20,072.31 is computed as follows:

d

n

t

t

)-

d

e

-(

3-

it

r,

ıt

3

17

935

94

Excess of revenues over expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1956—as shown by audit report \$22,572.21 Less appropriation for purchase of auto 2,500.00

Balance of carry-over from fiscal year ended June 30, 1956 \$20,072.31

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

CASH RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 1957

Tr

En

Balance of cash and demand of at July 1, 1956	leposit		
Cash receipts:			\$ 23,512.38
Assessments			
State of New Mexico assess-		\$73,730.14	
ment for fiscal year ended June 30, 1957, received			
prior to June 30, 1957 State of New Mexico assess-		8,437.50	
ment refund		2.00	
		719.25	
Miscellaneous revenues		132.80	
Sale of property and equipment			
ment		85.00	83,104.69
			\$106,617.07
Cash disbursements:			φ100,011.01
Personal services		\$26,271.31	
Travel		4,207.51	
Current expense		9,062.42	
Capital outlay		3,097.37	
Information		5,058.77	
Office supplies		1,915.38	
Expenses of fiscal year		2,020,00	
ended June 30, 1956, not			
paid until after July 1,			
1956		223.30	
Refund of assessments:			
State of Colorado	\$2,971.97		
State of New Mexico	719.25		
State of Utah	1,470.44	5,161.66	54,997.72
	-		
Balance of cash and demand			
deposit at June 30, 1957			\$ 51,619.35

INSURANCE COVERAGE

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

June 30, 1957

	Type of Coverage	Amount of Coverage
Furniture and		
fixtures	Fire and comprehensive	\$7,500.00
Automobile	Comprehensive	Actual Cash Value
	Collision and upset	\$100.00
	Bodily injury and	
	property damage	\$50/100,000/5
Treasurer	Fidelity bond	\$40,000.00
Assistant treasurer	Fidelity bond	\$40,000.00
Employees	Workmen's	
	compensation	Various



APPENDIX C

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARDS

for

Units of Colorado River Storage Project (Through January, 1958)

Feature, Schedule or Description of the Work	Spec. No.	Name of Contractor	Low Bid	Engineer's Estimate		Scheduled Completion Date
	GL	EN CANYON UNIT				
Earthwork and Culverts, Access Highway, Station 158+67.7 to Station 400+00 (Highway along east side of the Colorado River)	DC-4730	Strong Co. Springville, Utah	1,156,244	\$ 1,014,633	9-21-56	7-1-57
Exploratory Drilling and Water Testing at Glen Canyon Dam Site	400C-63	Cannon Diamond Drilling Co., Compton, Calif.	148,185	172,820	8-14-56	12-27-56
Glen Canyon Dam Right Diversion Tunnel	DC-4747	Mountain States Const. Co., Denver, Colorado	2,452,340	3,845,100	10-1-56	12-26-57
Earthwork and Culverts, Access Highway, Station $400+00$ to Station $1497+50$ and Waterholes Canyon Bridge	DC-4756	W. W. Clyde & Co. Springville, Utah	1,011,819	832,663	10-20-56	7-3-57
Completion of Gravel Surfacing, Arizona- Utah State Line to Glen Canyon Dam Site and Wahweap Creek Road	400C-68	Ford-Fielding, Inc. Provo, Utah	36,801	83,220	11-8-56	2-7-57
Colorado River Bridge, Glen Canyon Dam	DC-4800	Kiewit-Judson Pacific Murphy, 4322 Eastshore Drive, Emoryville, Calif.	4,139,277	2,944,750	1-21-57	12-17-59 6-17-59
Entine buildes evaluaires of mainting						

¹Entire bridge, exclusive of painting below concrete bridge deck

Appendix C (Cont'd)

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARDS

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARDS

for

Units of Colorado River Storage Project (Through January, 1958)

Feature, Schedule or Description of the Work	Spec. No.	Name of Contractor	Low Bid	Engineer's Estimate		Scheduled Completion Date
	GLEN (CANYON UNIT (Cont'd)				
Glen Canyon Dam and Powerplant, Prime Contract	DC-4825	Merritt-Chapman & Scott \$. Corp., New York, N. Y.	107,955,122	\$135,608,170	4-29-57	3-6-64
Water Tank and Reservoir	DC-4865	Pittsburg-Des Moines Steel Co., Santa Clara, California	189,190	274,000	5-13-57	4-3-58
Surfacing, Guardrail, Access Highway and Airstrip	DC-4887	Alexander Const. Co. Minneapolis, Minn.	1,517,412	1,911,956	5-28-57	11-27-57
Surfacing West Road and Vista Point	400C-83	W. W. Clyde & Co. Springville, Utah	58,453	55,936	6-13-57	10-12-57
Temporary Parking Area on Left Bank	400C-98	W. W. Clyde & Co. Springville, Utah	55,705	43,800	11-15-57	4-15-58
Streets, Water Distribution, and Sewage Systems	DC-4896	W. W. Clyde & Co. Springville, Utah	1,331,470	1,640,617	7-25-57	9-1-58
Sewage Treatment Plant	DC-4912	W. W. Clyde & Co. Springville, Utah	221,445	206,197	8-8-57	3-5-58
Laboratory, Municipal Building, and Ware- house	DC-4924	Security Construction Co. Salt Lake City, Utah	358,708	429,362	8-22-57	3-12-58
Water Supply System	DC-4933	Southern Engineering and Construction Co., Long Beach, Calif.	1,059,157	869,547	9-10-57	11-27-58
Two-hundred Permanent Residences	DC-4989	Page City Construction Long Beach, Calif.	3,157,580	4,093,000	12-10-57	

-40-

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AWARDS

for

Units of Colorado River Storage Project

(Through January, 1958)

Feature, Schedule or Description of the Work	Spec. No.	Name of Contractor	Low Bid	Engineer's Estimate		Scheduled Completion Date
	F	LAMING GORGE				
Streets, Utilities, and Residences for Flaming Gorge Community	DC-4779	Witt Construction Co. Provo, Utah	\$2,678,031	\$2,522,293	7-25-57	2-25-59
Earthwork, Structures, and Surfacing of Access Road	DC-4900	Wangsgaard Construction Co., Logan, Utah	504,642	457,439	6-27-57	6-25-58
Temporary Office and Warehouse Buildings	400C-89	Bethers and Stoker Salt Lake City, Utah	47,028	51,610	8-22-57	1-23-58
Prefabricated Metal Garages	400C-91	Dean R. Mayberry Ogden, Utah	59,793	73,275	9-18-57	1-28-58
		NAVAJO			-49	
Streets, Utilities, and 15 Residences	DC-4914	Oehring Construction Co. Omaha, Nebraska	260,190	282,265	10-24-57	6-28-58

2

49-

COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT

Construction Contracts Scheduled for Bidding February—June, 1958

GLEN CANYON UNIT

- 1. 3,000,000 barrels of cement—Furnishing at the dam site, bulk cement for the construction of Glen Canyon Dam and power-plant.
- 2. 250,000 tons of Pozzolan—Furnishing at the dam site, pozzolan for use in the construction of Glen Canyon Dam and powerplant.
- 3. Penstocks—Furnishing steel and fabrication of penstocks for Glen Canyon powerplant.
- 4. Airstrip lighting—Furnishing and installing lights for the airstrip at Page, Arizona.
- 5. Soil stabilization and landscaping—Hauling topsoil and planting landscape materials for the residences at Page, Arizona.
- 6. Mobile radio system—Furnishing and installing radio equipment in vehicles and administration building.

FLAMING GORGE UNIT

- 1. Diversion tunnel—Excavating 1,200 foot-long, 23-foot diameter, lined right abutment diversion tunnel at the Flaming Gorge Dam site.
- 2. Permanent access road—Constructing third of three portions of access road to the left abutment of Flaming Gorge Dam.
- 3. Pumping plant and storage tank Constructing a pumping plant and water storage tank to supply culinary water to Flaming Gorge community.
- 4. Garage and fire station—Constructing a garage and fire station for use in the Flaming Gorge community.
- 5. Laboratory, grading, and street surfacing Constructing a concrete testing laboratory and completion of grading and street surfacing in the adminstrative area.

APPENDIX D

(Note: The following report was taken from Senate Document No. 77, 85th Congress, 2d Session. It was prepared by the Secretary of the Interior and submitted to both Houses of Congress to show the financial status of the Colorado River Storage Project as of June 30, 1957)

FIRST ANNUAL REPORT, COLORADO RIVER STORAGE PROJECT AND PARTICIPATING PROJECTS

Introduction

of

2

to

t]

g

e

fr

no

se

fie

Di

Uı

Spe

On April 11, 1956, legislation authorizing construction of the Colorado River storage project and participating projects was signed into law (70 Stat. 105) by the President. The act initiated an extensive program for the development of water resources in the upper Colorado River Basin. Authorized for construction were 4 initial units of the Colorado River storage project on the Colorado River or its larger tributaries, primarily for river regulation and power production, and 11 participating projects for irrigation and other related purposes. Construction of the Curecanti unit, 1 of the 4 authorized units, is not to be undertaken until the Secretary of the Interior has certified to the Congress and to the President that, in his judgment, the benefits of such unit will exceed its costs.

Section 6 of the act stipulates that on January 1 of each year the Secretary shall report to Congress for the previous fiscal year—

- 1. Status of revenues from, and
- 2. Cost of, constructing, operating, and maintaining the Colorado River storage project and participating projects (hereinafter referred to as the project).

The report is to be prepared so as to reflect accurately the

- 3. Federal investment allocated at that time to power, to irrigation, and to other purposes;
 - 4. Progress of return and repayment thereon; and
- 5. Estimated rate of progress, year by year, in accomplishing full repayment.

During the first fiscal year of the project, which ended June 30, 1957, activities were confined to initiation of construction on 3 of the 4 storage units and continuation of investigations on the Curecanti storage unit and the 11 participating projects authorized for construction by the act.

This report deals primarily with the status to June 30, 1957, of the cost of construction and of investigations related thereto or financed from project funds, as required to be reported under item 2 above. The statements under each of the other matters required to be reported upon will be expanded in future annual reports as the activities pertaining to them progress.

le

IS

d

·e

0-

n

n

1

ei-

ed

ar

al

ne ts

to

n-

1. Status of Revenues

Nonoperating revenues amounting to \$1,443 arising from grazing leases accrued to the project during fiscal year 1957. However, there were no revenues from water rental, water sales, or from sales of electric energy during the period. For this reason no statement of revenues accompanies this first annual report.

2. Cost of Constructing, Operating and Maintaining the Project

The attached "Balance sheet, June 30, 1957, exhibit A" presents the financial position of the project at that date.

Costs to June 30, 1957, of constructing the project are identified as follows:

Particulars	Amount
Direct costs: Construction work in progress	\$8,394,711
Unapplied costs:	
Investigation costs	1,282,719
Construction service facilities	1,205,420
Materials and supplies	24,400
Prepayment and advances	72,091
Other charges and work in progress	18,575
Total	10 997 916

Through June 30, 1957, no costs had been incurred with respect to operating and maintaining the project.

3. Allocation of Federal Investment

No formal allocations to the several purposes served by the project have been made of the cost to June 30, 1957, as reflected in construction work in progress accounts. This is in accordance with the provisions of section 6 of Public Law 485 which states that upon completion of each unit, participating project or separable feature thereof, the Secretary shall allocate the total costs of constructing said unit, project or feature to the various purposes authorized in the act or authorized under reclamation law.

Pla

Inv

Cu

Oth

0

(

4. Progress of Return and Repayment of Federal Investment

As indicated in the forepart of this report the fiscal year 1957 marked the inception of construction on the project and no income producing facilities were in an operational status. Hence, there is no progress to report on the return and repayment of the Federal investment.

5. Estimated Rate of Project Repayment Year by Year

During the first fiscal year of the project, no storage unit, participating project, or separable feature thereof had been completed. Therefore, at June 30, 1957, cost allocations of the Federal investment to the several purposes served by the project, as prescribed by section 6 of the act, had not been made. Accordingly, no estimated rate of progress of return and repayment, year by year, of the investment to be so allocated, is included in this annual report.

--53-

Exhibit A.—Balance sheet, June 30, 1957

ASSETS

	\$8,394,711
	1,205,420
	1,282,719
surv:	
5,610,617	
20,300	
293,181	
24,400	
72,091	
_	6,020,589
18,425	
150	
1	18,575
	\$16,922,014
	sury: \$5,320,870 289,747 5,610,617 20,300 293,181 24,400 72,091 18,425 150

LIABILITIES

Net investment:		
United States:		
Congressional appropriations	\$13,600,000	
Transfers of property and serv-		
ices	1,508,090	
Interest accrued on plant under		
construction	75,273	
	15,183,363	
Non-Federal: Contributions in aid		
of project development and con-		
struction	843,772	
		16,027,135
Current liabilities:		
Accrued liabilities	289,706	
Accounts payable:		
Government agencies	67,392	
Other	536,336	
	-	893,434
Other deferred credits		1,445
Total		\$16,922,014

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this exhibit.

Note 1. Operating funds:

Cash and fund balances available for payment of outstanding obligations and accounts payable	\$3,765,393
Unobligated balances	1,555,477
Total	5,320,870

Note 2. Prepayments and advances, includes advances to other Bureau of Reclamation activities aggregating \$66,720. The components of such advances, as reflected on the records of such other Bureau entities, namely:

Fund balance with U.S. Treasury_____\$219,742

Accounts receivable:

	\$56,292	Other	
	13,903	Project	
70,195			
289,937			

Less accounts payable:

Other	219,153	
Project	4,064	
		223,217
Total		66,720

have not been consolidated with or eliminated from the related components of the project for purposes of this balance sheet.

APPENDIX E

UPPER COLORADO RIVER COMMISSION

Key Gaging Stations

Derived from reports of U. S. Geological Survey and others.

		Drainage Area	in 1,0	e during Wa 000 acre-ft. U	Units
No. (1)	Streams (2)	Sq. Miles (3)	1955	1956 (5)	1957 (6)
1.	Colorado River near Grand Lake, Colorado	103.0	33.3	50.9	91.5
2.	Arapaho Creek at Monar Lake Outlet, Colorado	ch 47.1	50.5	59.1	
3.	Fraser River near Winte Park, Colorado	er 27.6	5.0	7.1	31.6
4.	Vasquez Creek near Win ter Park, Colorado	27.8	3.1	7.6	15.8
5.	St. Louis Creek near Fraser, Colorado	33.0	19.0	15.5	24.6
6.	Ranch Creek near Fraser, Colorado	19.9	2.9	4.4	
7.	Colorado River at Hot Sulphur Springs, Colo.	782.0	103.1	142.3	282.7
8.	Williams River near Leal, Colorado	89.5	44.3	59.0	90.4
9.	Troublesome Creek near Troublesome, Colorado ¹	178.0	18.5	36.5	
10.	Blue River at Dillon, Colorado	129.0	54.5	70.4	102.2
11.	Snake River near Montezuma, Colorado	59.0	36.3	51.4	67.1
12.	Tenmile Creek at Dillon, Colorado	113.0	56.6	86.2	115.6

24

25

27

¹ Discontinued.

No. (1)	Streams	Drainage Area Sq. Miles	in 1,	ge during W 000 acre-ft. (Provisional) 1956 (5)	
13.	Eagle River at Red Cliff Colorado	72.2	16.7	26.0	
14.	Eagle River below Gypsum, Colorado	957.0	292.3	391.6	
15.	Colorado River at Glen- wood Springs, Colorado	4,560.0	1,026.0	1,469.0	
16.	Roaring Fork at Aspen Colorado	, 109.0	43.5	43.8	
17.	Crystal River near Redstone, Colorado	225.0	213.2	202.6	
18.	Roaring Fork at Glenwood Springs, Colo.	1,460.0	660.8	717.4	
19.	Colorado River near Cameo, Colorado	8,060.0	1,976.0	2,416.0	
20.	Plateau Creek near Cameo, Colorado	604.0	93.0	69.8	
21.	Taylor River at Almont Colorado	440.0	177.4	224.4	
22.	East River at Almont Colorado	295.0	175.8	203.6	
23.	Gunnison River near Gunnison, Colorado	1,010.0	362.6	452.1	
24.	Tomichi Creek at Gunnison, Colorado	1,020.0	58.6	83.1	
25.	Gunnison River below Gunnison Tunnel, Colo.	3,980.0	401.4	574.7	
26.	North Fork Gunnison River near Somerset, Co	olo. 521.0	239.8	245.6	
27.	Uncompangre River at Colona, Colorado	437.0	119.0	118.0	

No.

42

43

44

45

46

47.

48.

49.

50.

51.

52.

53.

54.

55.

56.

		Drainage Are	Discharge during Wa in 1,000 acre-ft. U inage Area (Provisional) (1			
No.	Streams (2)	Sq. Miles	1955 (4)	1956 (5)	1957 (6)	
28.	Gunnison River near Grand Junction, Colo.	8,020.0	1,032.0	1,113.0		
29.	Colorado River near Colorado-Utah State line	17,900.0	2,903.0	3,345.0		
30.	Dolores River at Dolores, Colorado	556.0	203.0	197.2		
31.	San Miguel River near Placerville, Colorado	308.0	127.0	115.1		
32.	Dolores River near Cisco, Utah		360.0	269.7		
33.	Colorado River near Cisco, Utah	24,100.0	3,241.0	3,604.0		
34.	Green River at Warren Bridge near Daniel, Wy	o. 468.0	292.9	482.1		
35.	Pine Creek above Fremo Lake, Wyoming	ont 69.5	102.2	155.2		
36.	Boulder Creek below Boulder Lake, Wyoming	g 130.0	116.7	160.3		
37.	North Piney Creek near Mason, Wyoming	58.0	28.5	56.8		
38.	Fontenelle Creek near Herschler Ranch near Fontenelle, Wyoming	152.0	37.4	66.4		
39.	Big Sandy Creek at Leckie Ranch near Big Sandy, Wyoming	94.0	46.8	64.8		
40.	Green River near Green River, Wyoming		836.0	1,600.0		
41.	Blacks Fork near Millburne, Wyoming	156.0	86.3	122.2		

No.	Streams	Drainage Area Sq. Miles	in 1,	ge during Water 2000 acre-ft. Unit (Provisional) (Provi 1956	S
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
42.	East Fork of Smith For near Robertson, Wyomi		21.4	29.9	
43.	West Fork of Smith For near Robertson, Wyomi		9.3	15.2	
44.	Hams Fork near Frontier, Wyoming	298.0	69.2	121.6	
45.	Blacks Fork near Green River, Wyoming	3,670.0	88.1	217.0	
46.	Green River near Linwood, Utah	14,300.0	932.5	1,853.0	
47.	Henrys Fork near Lonetree, Wyoming	56.0	19.4	21.3	
48.	Middle Fork Beaver Cre near Lonetree, Wyomin		11.1	10.9	
49.	East Fork Beaver Creek near Lonetree, Wyomin		4.4	4.6	
50.	West Fork Beaver Creek near Lonetree, Wyoming		8.0	8.1	
51.	Burnt Fork near Burnt Fork, Wyoming	52.8	15.2	15.3	
52.	Henrys Fork at Linwood, Utah	531.0	21.1	31.1	
53.	Sheep Creek Upper Can near Manila, Utah	al	4.0	4.0	
54.	Sheep Creek Lower Can near Manila, Utah	al	12.4	11.6	
55.	Sheep Creek near Manila, Utah	45.9	2.0	2.2	
56.	Sheep Creek at mouth near Manila, Utah	111.0	8.3	7.9	

				ater Year Units	
No. (1)	Streams (2)	Drainage Area Sq. Miles (3)	1955 (4)	(Provisional) 1956 (5)	(Provisional) 1957 (6)
57.	Carter Creek near Manila, Utah ²	19.0			
58.	Carter Creek at mouth m Manila, Utah ²	110.0	18.2		
59.	Green River near Greendale, Utah		1,002.0	1,895.0	1,932.0
60.	Yampa River at Steam boat Springs, Colorado	604.0	241.6	309.1	535.8
61.	Elk River at Clark, Colorado	206.0	186.8	237.6	316.2
62.	Yampa River near Maybell, Colorado	3,410.0	772.6	1,033.0	
63.	Little Snake River near Slater, Colorado	285,0	114.6	173.6	229.6
64.	Slater Fork near Slater, Colorado	161.0	40.2	50.5	77.7
65.	Savery Creek near Savery, Wyoming	330.0	42.5	58.1	95.3
66.	Little Snake River near Dixon, Wyoming	988.0	215.7	303.4	457.8
67.	Little Snake River near Lily, Colorado	3,730.0	233.2	410.9	
68.	Green River near Jensen, Utah		2,074.0	3,404.0	4,402.0
69.	Brush Creek near Vernal, Utah	82.0	14.4	16.4	
70.	Brush Creek near Jensen, Utah	255.0	4.7	4.9	

² Discontinued.

82

84

³ Dis ⁴ Re

		Drainage Area	in 1,0	000 acre-ft	Water Year Units (Provisional)
No. (1)	Streams (2)	Sq. Miles	1955 (4)	1956 (5)	1957
71.	Ashley Creek near Vernal, Utah	101.0	49.5	58.3	
72.	Ashley Creek at Sign of the Maine near Vernal, Utah	241.0	52.4	69.7	
73.	Ashley Creek near Jensen, Utah	386.0	15.6	18.4	
74.	Duchesne River near Tabiona, Utah	352.0	92.2	129.3	140.8
75.	Strawberry River at Duchesne, Utah	1,040.0	72.2	88.5	108.9
76.	Duchesne River at Myton, Utah	2,750.0	185.4	251.9	273.5
77.	Uinta River near Neola, Utah	181.0	96.6	111.8	
78.	Whiterocks River near Whiterocks, Utah	115.0	60.3	67.2	
79.	Duchesne River near Randlett, Utah	3,920.0	247.6	313.5	398.7
80.	White River at Buford, Colorado	240.0	174.7	211.6	
81.	South Fork White River at Buford, Colorado	170.0	153.8	166.2	
82.	White River near Meeker, Colorado	762.0	344.5	394.1	
83.	White River near Watson, Utah	4,020.0	388.0	419.2	
84.	Green River near Ouray, Utah ^{3,4}		2,818.0		5,595.0

Discontinued September 30, 1955.
 Re-established in 1957 water year.

Streams (2) Willow Creek near Duray, Utah ³ Minnie Maud Creek near Myton, Utah ^{3,4} Price River near Heiner, Utah Price River at Woodside, Utah Green River at Greenriver, Utah	967.0 30.0 455.0		000 acre-ft. (Provisional) 1956 (5) 61.5	
Ouray, Utah ³ Minnie Maud Creek near Myton, Utah ^{3,4} Price River near Heiner, Utah Price River at Woodside, Utah Green River at Greenriver, Utah	30.0 455.0	1.3 59.0		
Price River near Heiner, Utah Price River at Woodside, Utah Green River at Greenriver, Utah	455.0	59.0		
Heiner, Utah Price River at Woodside, Utah Green River at Greenriver, Utah				
Woodside, Utah Green River at Greenriver, Utah	1,500.0	44.4	24.6	
Greenriver, Utah				
	40,600.0	2,839.0	4,056.0	
Cottonwood Creek near Orangeville, Utah	200.0	43.2	48.7	5,507.0
an Rafael River near Greenriver, Utah	1,690.0	31.8	34.2	
Dirty Devil River near Hite, Utah		53.0	37.1	
Colorado River at Hite, Utah	76,600.0	6,238.0	7,694.0	
Escalante River near Escalante, Utah ⁵	315.0	2.4		
Scalante River at mouth ear Escalante, Utah ⁵		64.5		
an Juan River at agosa Springs, Colorado	298.0	153.3	180.3	
Rio Blanco River near agosa Springs, Colorado	58.0	37.8	45.1	
Vavajo River at Edith, Colorado	165.0	56.3	63.4	
an Juan River at Rosa, New Mexico	1,990.0	434.5	464.7	
CH CH CC C	an Rafael River near reenriver, Utah pirty Devil River near lite, Utah colorado River at lite, Utah scalante River near scalante, Utah scalante River at mouth ear Escalante, Utah an Juan River at agosa Springs, Colorado lio Blanco River near agosa Springs, Colorado lavajo River at dith, Colorado an Juan River at	an Rafael River near reenriver, Utah 1,690.0 pirty Devil River near lite, Utah 1,690.0 pirty Devil River near lite, Utah 1,600.0 pirty Devil River at lite, Utah 1,600.0 pirty Devil River at lite, Utah 1,600.0 pirty Devil River at mouth lite, Utah 1,000.0 pirty Devil River at mouth lite ar Escalante, Utah 1,000.0 pirty Devil River at lite, Utah 1,000.0 pirty Devil	an Rafael River near reenriver, Utah 1,690.0 31.8 irrty Devil River near lite, Utah 53.0 colorado River at lite, Utah 76,600.0 6,238.0 scalante River near scalante, Utah 315.0 2.4 scalante River at mouth ear Escalante, Utah 2,010.0 64.5 an Juan River at agosa Springs, Colorado 298.0 153.3 io Blanco River near agosa Springs, Colorado 58.0 37.8 avajo River at dith, Colorado 165.0 56.3 an Juan River at osa, New Mexico 1,990.0 434.5	an Rafael River near reenriver, Utah 1,690.0 31.8 34.2 sirty Devil River near lite, Utah 53.0 37.1 solorado River at lite, Utah 76,600.0 6,238.0 7,694.0 scalante River near scalante, Utah 315.0 2.4 scalante River at mouth ear Escalante, Utah 2,010.0 64.5 an Juan River at agosa Springs, Colorado 298.0 153.3 180.3 io Blanco River near agosa Springs, Colorado 58.0 37.8 45.1 avajo River at dith, Colorado 165.0 56.3 63.4 an Juan River at osa, New Mexico 1,990.0 434.5 464.7

6 Ac

			in 1,	ge during V 000 acre-ft	Vater Year . Units
No. (1)	Streams (2)	Drainage Area Sq. Miles (3)	1955 (4)	(Provisional) 1956 (5)	(Provisional) 1957 (6)
100.	Los Pinos River near Bayfield, Colorado	284.0	192.2	199.6	
101.	Los Pinos River at Ignacio, Colorado	448.0	57.8	44.7	
102.	Los Pinos River at LaBoca, Colorado ⁶	510.0	80.4	70.0	
103.	Spring Creek at LaBoca, Colorado ⁶	58.0	22.4	21.2	
104.	Martinez Ditch near Archuleta, New Mexico ⁷			2.0	
105.	San Juan River near Archuleta, New Mexico			558.0	
106.	Citizens Ditch (Bloomfie Canal) near Turley, New Mexico diverting water around Blanco gage		74.2	66.0	
107.	San Juan River near Bloomfield, New Mexico	5,410.0		553.2	
108.	Animas River at Durango, Colorado	692.0	409.7	378.6	
109.	Florida River near Durango, Colorado	96.0	42.4	35.9	
110.	Animas River near Ceda Hill, New Mexico	r 1,090.0	464.0	431.1	
111.	Animas River at Farmington, New Mexico	1,360.0	412.5	365.1	
112.	San Juan River at Farmington, New Mexico	7,240.0	915.7	874.4	2,404.5

Add Spring Creek to Los Pinos River at LaBoca to give flow at Colorado-New Mexico State line.
 Discontinued June 30, 1957.

Div

Al

Ber Eu: Gra Mor

Ind

T

(
Will

(
Bor

Hoo

Colu

Frem

Ewin

Wur

Bush Lark Tabo Fuch Rabo Trea Squa Pied

	•	Drainage Are	in 1,	,000 acre-f	Water Year t. Units
No.	Streams	Sq. Miles	1955	1956	1957
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
113.	LaPlata River at Hesperus, Colorado	37.0	20.3	20.1	
114.	LaPlata River at Colora New Mexico State line		9.4	8.4	
115.	LaPlata River near Fai ington, New Mexico	rm- 583.0	4.3	0.4	
116.	San Juan River at Shiprock, New Mexico	12,900.0	956.4	860.1	
117.	Mancos River near Towaoc, Colorado	550.0	14.2	8.3	
118.	McElmo Creek near ColoUtah State line	350.0	26.5	20.2	
119.	San Juan River near Bluff, Utah	23,000.0	988.5	861.6	2,586.0
120.	Colorado River at Lees Ferry, Arizona	107,900.0	7,290.0	8,740.0	17,330.0
121.	Paria River at Lees Ferry, Arizona	1,570.0	17.7	9.9	16.5
122.	Colorado River at Lee Ferry, Arizona ⁸	109,470.0	7,307.0	8,750.0	17,350.0

⁸Lee Ferry one mile downstream from the mouth of the Paria River is the 1922 "Compact Point," and the discharge at this point is taken as the sum of Nos. 120 and 121.

--65---

APPENDIX F

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS IN COLORADO

Diversion	Location	. 1956 Acre	ear 1957 e-feet al Records
Alva B. Adams Tunnel (East Portal)	Shadow Mountain Reservoir	210,700	195,200
Berthoud Pass Ditch	Fraser River Tribs.	396	568
Eureka Ditch	Tonahutu Creek	52	124
Grand River Ditch	Colorado River Tribs.	20,470	16,060
Moffat Tunnel (East Portal)		53,430	48,180
Independence Pass Tunnel (Twin Lakes Tunnel)	Roaring Fork Tribs.	36,440	32,730
Williams Fork Tunnel (Jones Pass)	Williams River	8,880	4,540
Boreas Pass Ditch	Blue River	260	475
Hoosier Pass Tunnel	Blue River	9,290	7,110
Columbine Ditch	Tenmile Creek Tribs.	1,390	1,110
Fremont Pass Ditch	Tenmile Creek	none	0
Ewing Ditch	Eagle River	1,100	1,360
Wurtz Ditch	Eagle River	2,590	2,640
Busk-Ivanhoe Tunnel	Fryingpan River	4,400	5,510
Larkspur Ditch	Tomichi Creek	35	0
Tabor Ditch	Gunnison River	167	788
Fuchs Ditch	N. Fork Los Pinos River	941	1,265
Raber-Lohr Ditch	Los Pinos River	2,630	2,680
Treasure Pass Ditch	San Juan River	128	0
Squaw Pass Ditch	San Juan River	177	0
Piedra Ditch	San Juan River	84	0

APPENDIX G

TRANSMOUNTAIN DIVERSIONS IN UTAH

Diversion	Location	Year 1956 1957 Acre-feet Provisional Records
Ephraim Tunnel	near Ephraim	3,520
Reeder Ditch	near Spring City	474
Twin Creek Tunnel	near Mt. Pleasant	121
Horseshoe Tunnel	near Ephraim	492
Cedar Creek Tunnel	near Spring City	237
Spring City Tunnel	near Spring City	1,890
Fairview Ditch	near Fairview	1,540
Candland Ditch	near Mt. Pleasant	155
Black Canyon Ditch	near Spring City	254
Larsen Tunnel	near Ephraim	913
Madsen Ditch	near Ephraim	104
John August Ditch	near Ephraim	183
Coal Fork Ditch	near Mt. Pleasant	206
Hobble Creek Ditch	near Heber	1,260
Strawberry River and Willow Creek Ditches	Strawberry River, Willow Creek	2,350
Strawberry Tunnel	Strawberry River	74,060
Tropic and East Fork Canal	near Tropic	934
Duchesne Tunnel near Kamas, Utah	North Fork Duchesne River	31,890

The consthe topo the by the

even



The relief model of the Upper Colorado River Basin, pictured above, was constructed by the Upper Colorado River Commission in cooperation with the Babson Institute of Business Administration. This model shows the topographic features of the area and indicates location of major units of the Colorado River Storage Project and Participating Projects. It is used by the Commission in work connected with administration of Upper Basin activities and is available at times for display at conventions and other public events.

The Upper Colorado River Commission

748 North Avenue

Grand Junction, Colorado

RECEIVED

DEC 14 1998

STATE PUBLICATIONS
Colorado State Library